1 2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney	
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	JULIE D. GARCIA (CABN 288624) Assistant United States Attorney	
5 6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495	
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7014 FAX: (415) 436-7234 Julie.Garcia@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for United States of America	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 17-391 EMC)
14	Plaintiff,	
15	V.) STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
16	NELSON DECUIRE, a/k/a "VADER," and PAUL ROBERT BIDINGER,	
17	Defendants.	
18		_) _)
19		
20	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, as stated on the record	
22	at the status conference on August 23, 2017, that the time between August 23, 2017, and September 13,	
23	2017, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B).	
24	Excluding time until September 13, 2017, will allow defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for	
25	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C.	
26	§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
27	//	
28	//	
	ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 17-391 EMC	

IT IS SO STIPULATED. 1 DATED: August 24, 2017 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH **United States Attorney** 3 4 JULIE D. GARCIA 5 Assistant United States Attorney 6 DATED: August 24, 2017 7 8 RANDY SUE POLLOCK 9 Counsel for Defendant NELSON DECUIRE 10 11 DATED: August 24, 2017 12 13 RANDOLPH E. DAAR Counsel for Defendant 14 PAUL ROBERT BIDINGER 15 16 [PROPOSED] ORDER 17 As explained on the record during the August 23, 2017 status conference, the Court finds that the 18 exclusion of the period from August 23, 2017, to September 13, 2017, from the time limits applicable 19 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the 20 interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the 21 failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable 22 time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would 23 result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 DATED: August 28, 2017 26 27 United States District J 28

ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 17-391 EMC